



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,964	02/13/2002	Duncan Kerr	APL1P215/P2698	9251
22434 7.	590 04/20/2004	EXAMINER		
BEYER WEA	VER & THOMAS L	TON, ANABEL		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CIL 91701-0770		2875	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/075,964	KERR, DUNCAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anabel M Ton	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, but Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	11 February 2004.	
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	llowance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-32 and 34-77 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) Claim(s) 1-31 and 56-74 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 32,52 and 75-77 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) 34-51,53-55 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ithdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. aminer. accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International 6 * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim recites" an illuminable housing capable of being illuminated by light" in line 2. Applicant ends claim with " to significantly alter the ornamental appearance of the computing device". It is suggested to the applicant that this statement be modified to include that the ornamental appearance of the "housing of the computing device" is being altered as opposed to the computing device itself since as shown in the respective figures depicting the housing of the computing device, the computing device, as understood are the internal working parts of the computer, not the housing (which is what is being ornamentally modified by the lighting device). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 32,52 and 75-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by An et al (6,494,593).

Art Unit: 2875

- 4. An discloses a computer system having a housing for enclosing at least one component of the computer system(100), the housing having a light passing wall(800), the computer system comprising: a light source disposed inside the housing(fig 3), the light source being configured to generate light; and a light controller operatively coupled to the light source (abstract,40) the light source controller being configured to control the light source so as to illuminate at least a portion of the light passing wall of the housing (on/40 switch controls light source to illuminate or not, light source illuminates at least a portion of the light passing wall, fig. 1) with the light generated by the light source the light source being dedicated to illuminating the light passing wall.
 - A general purpose computer having the ability to alter its ornamental appearance, the general purpose computer comprising: housing(100) a computer component disposed inside the housing a light arrangement disposed inside the housing(figs 2,3), the light arrangement being configured to illuminate a substantial portion of the housing (800) so as to significantly alter the ornamental appearance of the housing.
 - The chameleonic electronic device comprising: a housing configured to form a
 protective external covering for the chameleonic electronic device (100), and
 capable of providing luminance(figs 2-3), and a control means for adjusting the
 luminance of the housing (40).
 - An electronic device, comprising a housing configured to define the outer peripheral form of the electronic device; a distinct first component disposed inside the housing and capable of inputting or outputting information associated

Art Unit: 2875

with the operation of the electronic device (processor); and a user controlled (40) light source disposed inside the housing (figs 2,3) and capable of colorizing (white light to surface 800) the surface of the housing in order to effect the ornamental appearance of the electronic device.

- The computing device as recited in claim 66 wherein the illuminable wall helps to structurally support the internal components of the computing device in their assembled position (100)
- within the enclosure and wherein the illuminable wall is formed from a translucent or semi translucent material (800).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-31,56-74 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 34-51,53-55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art cited does not disclose a controllable light emitting device enclosed by the computer housing, the light emitting device being configured to produce an adjustable light effect.

Art Unit: 2875

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 01/08/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that An does not teach he light source being dedicated to illuminating the light passing wall, the light is not dedicated to illuminating the logo 800, but rather to providing illumination to the LCD unit. The examiner disagrees since the LCD forms the wall 800 and illuminates the section of the wall, 800 (albeit a logo, but still part of the wall). As recited by applicant in claim 32" to illuminate at least a portion of the light passing wall of the housing", which is the function of the logo section 800 in the An reference. Applicant argues that claim 52 teaches over the An reference since" by substantial and significant, it is meant that the area of illumination is larger enough to effect the overall appearance of the device". As seen in figure 5 of the An reference, the lit potion 800 with respect to the front portion of the housing 100 is a substantial portion of the housing, and the illuminated logo, which is part of the housing, is considered to significantly alter the ornamental appearance of the housing, since the logo portion of the housing wall is illuminated.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2875

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Stephen Husar Primary Examiner